Introduction

Portal technology is currently in full migration to the field of e-business. Enterprise Information Portal (EIP) technology is equally applicable to internally-facing, trading communities, trans-enterprise and externally-facing enterprise applications of all types. Eventually when true Enterprise Knowledge Portal (EKP) products and solutions are implemented, they too will be used in e-business. Especially in trading communities, and in communities of practice in medicine, pharmacology, architecture, engineering, science more generally, and in other areas where the distinction between true and false information is central. This paper looks at the EKP from the e-Business perspective. It examines:

(a) Relations among business processes, knowledge processes, and eBusiness processes;
(b) The Knowledge Life Cycle Model;
(c) The Nature of KM
(d) The EKP
(e) EKP architecture
(f) eBusiness Knowledge Portals and eBusiness Solutions

Business Processes, Knowledge Processes, and eBusiness Processes

IT applications are purposeful. They support and partially automate human participation in business processes. Portals are no different. So let’s talk about business processes, ebusiness processes and knowledge processes before we talk about knowledge portals.

Figure One illustrates the idea that any business process (including knowledge and knowledge management processes) may be viewed as a network of linked activities governed by validated rule sets, or knowledge. A linked sequence of
activities performed by one or more agents sharing at least one objective is a Task. A linked, but not necessarily sequential set of tasks governed by validated rule sets, producing results of measurable value to the agent or agents performing the tasks, is a Task Pattern. A cluster of task patterns, not necessarily performed sequentially, often performed iteratively and incrementally, is a Task Cluster. Finally, a hierarchical network of interrelated, purposive, activities of intelligent agents that transforms inputs into valued outcomes, a cluster of task clusters, is a Business Process.

Any business process, task cluster, task pattern, or task must involve Decision Cycles through which agents execute their part in a business process or component. The phases of any decision/execution cycle are: Planning, Acting, Monitoring, and Evaluating. Planning means setting goals, objectives, and priorities, making forecasts as part of prospective analysis, performing cost/benefit assessments as part of prospective analysis, and revising or reengineering a business process. Acting means performing the business process (cluster, pattern, or task) or any of its components. Monitoring means retrospectively tracking and describing the business process (cluster, pattern, or task). Evaluating means retrospectively assessing the performance of the business process as a value network [1]. There is a natural order to the activities of planning, acting, monitoring, and evaluating, the four phases of any decision/execution cycle in a value network. Figure Two illustrates the order of these stages, or sub-processes of the decision cycle.
Planning involves capturing and using data, information, and knowledge to produce a plan, an instance of planning knowledge.

Acting involves using the planning knowledge, along with other knowledge outside of the plan to make and implement decisions.

Monitoring involves gathering data and information and using previous knowledge to produce new descriptive, impact-related, and predictive knowledge about the results of acting.

Evaluating means using the results of monitoring, along with previous knowledge to assess the results of acting and to produce knowledge about the descriptive gaps between business outcomes and tactical objectives and about the normative (benefits and costs) impact of business outcomes.

Figure Two -- Decision Cycle Phases and Their Interactions.

Three of these four phases require knowledge production to solve problems that occur in each of the phases, and the fourth, the acting phase, uses the knowledge produced in the other three phases. So every business process involves both knowledge production and knowledge use. And planning and acting require integration of knowledge within organizational agents if acting is to be effective in moving processes toward their objectives.
Since knowledge use is part of the decision component of the acting phase, knowledge use cannot usefully be abstracted from the acting phase of business processes. In that phase of all business processes it is part of decision making in the same way. But knowledge production cuts across three of the four phases and knowledge integration across at least two. And knowledge production precedes its integration in later phases. So knowledge production and integration can both be viewed as an abstraction of activities that cut across all business processes and their phases. And they can be viewed as sequential processes in a life cycle, the Knowledge Life Cycle or KLC. The KLC will be discussed below after we provide an introduction on e-business and information portals.

eBusiness is not the same as eCommerce. eCommerce is about selling over the Internet. eBusiness is about using web technology to support a set of related business processes including sales. Examples of other eBusiness processes are:

- eCRM (web-enabled Customer Relationship Management),
- eSCM (web-enabled Supply Chain Management),
- eERP (web-enabled Enterprise Resource Planning),
- eKP (web-enabled Knowledge Processing, and
- eKM (web-enabled Knowledge Management)

Here are the types of web-enablement in the marketplace related to eBusiness:

- Custom -- use of programming languages to generate web enabled applications supporting business processes

- EIP Technology -- use of Enterprise Information Portal tools to generate such applications
  - EIPs -- use of EIP tools primarily targeted on producing enterprise facing applications
  - eIPs -- use of EIP tools primarily targeted on producing extraprise and interprise applications (see below for explanation)

- EKP Technology
  - EKPs -- use of EKP tools primarily targeted on producing enterprise facing applications
  - eKPs -- use of EKP tools primarily targeted on producing extraprise and interprise [2] applications (see below for explanation)

The core e-Business characteristic of web-enablement then, leads to consideration of portal technology. Let's begin with EIP technology. According to Wayne Eckerson [3] a Business Portal is an application that “provides business users one-stop shopping for any information object they need inside or outside the corporation.” It also provides shared services such as “security, metadata repository, personalization, search, publish/subscribe,” etc., as well as a common look and feel to the portal gateway.
According to Merrill Lynch's Shilakes and Tylman [4]: "Enterprise Information Portals are applications that enable companies to unlock internally and externally stored information, and provide users a single gateway to personalized information needed to make informed business decisions. " . . . an amalgamation of software applications that consolidate, manage, analyze and distribute information across and outside of an enterprise (including Business Intelligence, Content Management, Data Warehouse & Mart and Data Management applications)."

EIPs:

- Use “push” and “pull” technologies to transmit information through a standardized web interface;
- Provide “interactivity” -- the ability to “‘question’ and share information on” user desktops;
- Exhibit a trend toward verticalization in applications including:
  - Packaged applications with targeted content toward industries or corporate functions;
  - Integrate disparate applications and data/content stores into a single system;
- Access both external and internal sources;
- Support bi-directional information exchange from sources;
- Use data and information acquired for further processing

An eIP is an ebusiness Information Portal, that is, it uses EIP technology to support e-business processes that transcend the enterprise. There are two basic types of eIPs:

- Extraprise Information Portals (ExIPs); and
- Interprise information Portals (IIPs)

An ExIP is an Information portal supporting an extended enterprise usually consisting of a community of trading partners revolving around a common host enterprise of mutual interest who do business with one another on a fairly predictable and repetitive basis. The enterprise at the center of the system usually hosts the “extended Intranet” (aka, the “Extranet”).

An Interprise Information Portal (IIP) is an Information portal supporting web-like federations of otherwise independent companies with no “network host” at the center. The members of the interprise do business with one another through the IIP on a fairly unpredictable and irregular basis in response to individual expressions of demand in marketplaces of mutual interest.

Some benefits often claimed for EIPs or eIPs include [5]:

---
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A number of these claims of primary benefits of EIPs, such as ROI, competitive advantage, increased effectiveness, and accelerated innovation, assume that information delivered by EIPs or eIPs is correct information. But the risk associated with EIPs is that if that’s not the case, these four benefits are lost. An overriding justification for implementing an EKP or eKP, rather than an EIP, is to secure these four benefits and to minimize decision making risk by increasing the quality/validity of information supplied by the portal. To see this clearly, we need to examine the idea of the EKP in detail, and in turn this first requires examination of the knowledge and knowledge management processes supported by the EKP and the relation of these processes to other business processes -- both "e" and otherwise.

A Knowledge Life Cycle Model

Every individual, team, or group within the enterprise encounters problems in the course of the work day. Every problem has alternative solutions. And every alternative solution is subject to criticism and to replacement if it performs less well than its competitors. The best problem solution is the competitive alternative that best survives criticism.

The Enterprise is a continuous, dynamic “swirl” of problem-solving knowledge-related Interactions from which knowledge is produced and integrated with the business processes of the enterprise. For a given problem generated by a business process, it is useful to abstract from the swirl and to conceptualize an iteration of a knowledge life cycle encompassing knowledge processes targeted on solving that problem.

Figure three provides an overview of a Knowledge Life Cycle model begun in collaboration with Mark McElroy, Edward Swanstrom, Douglas Weidner, and Steve Cavaleri [6], during meetings sponsored by the Knowledge Management Consortium International (KMCI), and further developed recently by Mark McElroy and myself [7]. Knowledge Production and Knowledge Integration are core knowledge processes in the model. Knowledge Production is stimulated by problems produced by business processes. It produces Validated Knowledge Claims (VKCs), Unvalidated Knowledge Claims (UKCs), and Invalidated
Knowledge Claims (IKCs), and information about the status of these. Organizational Knowledge (OK) is composed of all of the foregoing results of knowledge production. It is what is integrated into the enterprise by the Knowledge Integration process.

The knowledge integration process, in turn, produces the Distributed Organizational Knowledge Base (DOKB) and the DOKB, in turn, has a major impact on structures incorporating organizational knowledge such as normative business processes, plans, organizational culture, organizational strategy, policies, procedures, and information systems. Coupled with external sources these structures then feed back to impact first, behavioral business processes through the acting phase of decision cycles, which generate new problems in the planning, monitoring, and evaluation phases of decision cycles to be solved. And then, second, knowledge production to solve these new problems - which is why it's called the Knowledge Life Cycle (KLC) model.

Drilling down into knowledge production (figure four), the KLC view is that information acquisition, and individual and group learning, in the service of problem-solving, impact on knowledge claim formulation, which, in turn, produces Codified Knowledge Claims (CKCs). These, in their turn, are tested in the knowledge validation sub-process, a sub-process of criticism including, but not limited to, empirical testing, which then produces organizational knowledge.

The key sub-process that distinguishes knowledge production from information production is knowledge validation. It is the sub-process of criticism of competing
knowledge claims, and of comparative testing and assessment of them, that transforms knowledge claims from mere information into tested information, some of which passes organizational tests and therefore becomes, from the organizational point of view, knowledge. Individual and group learning may involve knowledge production from the perspective of the individual or group, but from the perspective of the enterprise, what the individuals and groups learn is information, not knowledge. Similarly information acquired may be knowledge from the perspective of the external parties it is acquired from, but not knowledge to the enterprise acquiring it, until it has been validated as such.

Figure Four also illustrates that knowledge validation has a feedback effect on individual and group learning. This occurs because individuals and groups participating in knowledge claim validation are affected by their participation in this process. They both produce organizational knowledge in the form of validated knowledge claims and experience change in their own justified beliefs as an outcome of that participation.

Drilling down into knowledge integration (figure five), organizational knowledge is integrated across the enterprise by the broadcasting, searching/retrieving, teaching, and sharing sub-processes. These generally work in parallel rather than sequentially. And not all are necessary to a specific instance of the KLC. All may be based in personal non-electronic or electronic interactions. Here is a glossary of the major terms used in the KLC Model.
Sidebar One: KLC Glossary

**Codified Knowledge Claims** - Information that has been codified, but which has not yet been subjected to organizational validation.

**Distributed Organizational Knowledge Base** - an abstract construct representing the outcome of knowledge integration. The DOKB is found everywhere in the enterprise, not merely in electronic repositories. It is distributed over all of the agents and all of the repositories in the enterprise.

**Experiential Feedback Loops** - Processes by which information concerning the outcomes of organizational learning activities are fed back into the Knowledge Production phase of an organization’s knowledge life cycle as a useful reference for future action.

**Individual and Group Learning** - A process involving human interaction, knowledge claim formulation, and validation by which new individual and/or group knowledge is created.
**Information About Invalidated Knowledge Claims** - Information that attests to the existence of invalidated knowledge claims and the circumstances under which such knowledge was invalidated.

**Information About Unvalidated Knowledge Claims** - Information that attests to the existence of unvalidated knowledge claims, and the circumstances under which such knowledge was tested and neither validated nor invalidated.

**Information About Validated Knowledge Claims** - Information that attests to the existence of validated knowledge claims and the circumstances under which such knowledge was validated.

**Information Acquisition** - A process by which an organization either deliberately or serendipitously acquires knowledge claims or information produced by others external to the organization.

**Invalidated Knowledge** - A collection of codified invalidated knowledge claims.

**Invalidated Knowledge Claims** - Codified knowledge claims that have not satisfied an organization’s validation criteria. Falsehoods.

**Knowledge Claim** - A codified expression of potential knowledge which may be held as validated knowledge at an individual and/or group level, but which has not yet been subjected to a validation process at an organizational level. Information. Knowledge claims are components of hierarchical networks of rules, that if validated would become the basis for organizational or agent behavior.

**Knowledge Claim Formulation** - A process involving human interaction by which new organizational knowledge claims are formulated.

**Knowledge Integration** - The process by which an organization introduces new knowledge claims to its operating environment and retires old ones. Knowledge Integration includes all knowledge transmission, teaching, knowledge sharing, and other social activity that communicates either an understanding of previously produced organizational knowledge to knowledge workers, or the knowledge that
certain sets of knowledge claims have been tested, and that they and information about their validity strength is available in the organizational knowledge base, or some degree of understanding between these alternatives. Knowledge integration processes, therefore, may also include the transmission and integration of information.

**Knowledge Production** - A process by which new organizational knowledge is created. Synonymous with "organizational learning."

**Knowledge Validation Process** - A process by which knowledge claims are subjected to organizational criteria to determine their value and veracity.

**Organizational Knowledge** - A complex network of knowledge and knowledge sets held by an organization, consisting of declarative and procedural rules (validated knowledge claims).

**Organizational Learning** - A process involving human interaction, knowledge claim formulation, and validation by which new organizational knowledge is created.

**(Business) Structures Incorporating Organizational Knowledge** - Outcomes of organizational system interaction. The organization behaves through these structures including business processes, strategic plans, authority structures, information systems, policies and procedures, etc. Knowledge structures exist within these business structures and are the particular configurations of knowledge found in them.

**Unvalidated Knowledge Claims** - Codified knowledge claims that have not satisfied an organization’s validation criteria, but which were not invalidated either. Knowledge claims requiring further study.

**Validated Knowledge Claims** - Codified knowledge claims that have satisfied an organization’s validation criteria. Truth from the viewpoint of the organization.

Knowledge production and knowledge integration, their sub-processes, task clusters, etc., like other value networks, are partly composed of decision cycles through which agents execute their roles in these value networks. This means that planning, acting, monitoring and evaluating also apply to knowledge
processes and to activity in the KLC. These processes are executed by agents performing decision cycles. It is the role of KM to support these agents.

The KLC, Knowledge Processes and Knowledge Management

What is the relationship between managing the KLC or its knowledge processes and Knowledge Management itself?

To answer this question, we need to decide whether managing knowledge refers to managing knowledge processes, managing the outcomes of these processes, or managing both? It has recently been stated [8, P. 87] that "It's not knowledge management, stupid, it's knowledge PROCESS management." But this is surely too simple. While KM is a process that manages the knowledge processes of the KLC, since those processes produce knowledge outcomes including the knowledge base, it is also true that KM indirectly manages knowledge outcomes. Or, to put the situation another way, knowledge management is most directly knowledge process management, and only indirectly knowledge base management. The knowledge processes in question are given in the KLC. So knowledge management is both process and outcome management.

The Nature of Knowledge Management

There are many available definitions of knowledge management [9], but few specifications that bring the definitions a step closer to analysis and measurement. I define KM as human activity that is part of the Knowledge Management Process (KMP) of an agent or collective. This reduces KM to the definition of KMP. And the KMP, in turn, is an ongoing, persistent, purposeful interaction among human-based agents through which the participating agents aim at managing (handling, directing, governing, controlling, coordinating, planning, organizing) other agents, components, and activities participating in the basic knowledge processes (knowledge production and knowledge integration) into a planned, directed, unified whole, producing, maintaining, enhancing, acquiring, and transmitting the enterprise's knowledge base. This definition is another way of stating the idea that KM is management of the KLC and its outcomes. But the idea of KM still needs further specification.

Let's note first that the KMP is a business process. I break down the KMP [10] into three task clusters: interpersonal behavior, knowledge processing behavior, and decision making behavior. Interpersonal behavior may be further categorized into:

- Figurehead or ceremonial KM activity (focuses on performing formal KM acts such as signing contracts, attending public functions on behalf of the enterprise's KM process, and representing the KM process to dignitaries visiting the enterprise);
Leadership (includes hiring, training, motivating, monitoring, and evaluating staff. It also includes persuading non-KM agents within the enterprise of the validity of KM process activities); and

Building external relationships -- another political activity designed to build status and to cultivate external sources of support for KM.

KM Knowledge processing behavior includes:

- KM knowledge production (different in that it is here that the rules for knowledge production that are used at the level of knowledge processes are specified);
- KM Knowledge Integration (affected by KM knowledge production, and also affects knowledge production activities by stimulating new ones).

Decision making behavior includes:

- Changing knowledge process rules (involves making the decision to change such rules and causing both the new rules and the mandate to use them to be implemented);
- Crisis Handling (e.g., meeting CEO requests for new competitive intelligence in an area of high strategic interest for an enterprise, and directing rapid development of a KM support infrastructure in response to requests from high level executives);
- Allocating Resources (KM support infrastructures, training, professional conferences, salaries for KM staff, funds for new KM programs, etc.);
- Negotiating agreements (with representatives of business processes over levels of effort for KM, the shape of KM programs, the ROI expected of KM activities, etc.).

The nine categories within the task clusters are the task patterns illustrated in figure one. Further specification of KM involves breaking down the task patterns further, but I don't need to do that for this discussion. In brief, the nature of knowledge management is that it is a complex process composed of the above task clusters and task patterns, executed by agents through planning, acting, monitoring, and evaluating activities.

What is the EKP?

An EKP [11] is an enhanced Enterprise Information Portal (EIP). It is an EIP that:

- is goal directed toward knowledge production, knowledge integration, and knowledge management, and also
- focuses upon, provides, produces and manages information about the validity of the information it supplies,
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- provides information about your business and meta-information about the degree to which you can rely on that information,
- distinguishes knowledge from mere information,
- provides a facility for producing knowledge from information
- orients one toward producing and integrating knowledge rather than information

The next sections specify these in more detail. Here note that that the EKP is the application that web-enables knowledge production, knowledge, integration and knowledge management. That is, it is itself an eBusiness application, the one that embodies web-enabled knowledge processing and web-enabled knowledge management.

AN EKP is an EIP

An EKP shares the characteristics of other EIPs. It is a particularly comprehensive version of an EIP however, incorporating a personalized browser-based interface, structured data management, unstructured content management, and collaborative, as well as knowledge production, knowledge integration, and knowledge management functionality. It also requires an integrative architecture incorporating Knowledge Claim Objects (KCOs) encapsulating knowledge claim data, metadata describing the validity characteristics of these knowledge claim objects, and methods producing behavior of the objects. EKP architecture will be described in greater detail below.

Knowledge Processing Means Knowledge Production and Knowledge Integration

This should be clear from the previous knowledge processing/knowledge management framework. See also, "The Metaprise, The AKMS, and The Enterprise Knowledge Portal," [12], and Mark McElroy's "The Second Generation of KM," [8], for other statements of aspects of the framework. The point is that to be an EKP, an EIP application must implement use cases that support knowledge production and knowledge integration. That means the use cases must support information acquisition, individual and group learning, knowledge claim formulation, knowledge claim validation, broadcasting, searching/retrieving, sharing, and teaching. In each of these areas it must also support planning, monitoring, and evaluating phases of decision cycles. This again underlines how comprehensive EKPs are compared to EIPs.

The Knowledge Management Process

Again, I've presented a specific view of the KMP above. One distinguishing characteristic of the EKP is that it must support KM in the sense of the term defined earlier. This means that the EKP must implement use cases that support
the nine task patterns, tasks, and activities of the KMP and also the phases of
decision cycles encompassed by the KMP. There is no similar requirement for
EIPs.

Provides, Produces, and Manages Information about the Validity of
Information It Supplies

Knowledge is validated information. EKPs distinguish knowledge from mere
information by providing information about the results of tests of the validity of
any piece of information. That means that EKPs must track and store such
meta-information while EIPs in general need not.

This requirement is one that distinguishes EKPs from other EIPs. It is a
requirement that greatly expands the diversity and volume of metadata
found in EKPs as compared with EIPs, since the validity meta-information
must record the full history of the discussions and interactions that
transform information into knowledge.

Provides Business Information Along with Meta-information About
the Degree To Which You Can Rely On It

Validity information about a knowledge claim is meta-information about that
claim. This validity base includes meta-information comparing the knowledge
claim against competing knowledge claims. This meta-information tells you the
degree to which you can rely on the target knowledge claim compared to its
competitors. It tells you the relative strength of the knowledge claim compared to
its competitors. Thus, EKPs record the history of the competitive struggle
among ideas (knowledge claims) put forward to solve problems within the
enterprise. EIPs need record no such history.

Distinguishes Knowledge From Information

By providing validity information (meta-information) about knowledge claims,
EKPs provide information on the relative strength of knowledge claims. The
stronger the claim, the closer it approaches organizational knowledge and the
stronger the support it provides for decisions. The weaker the claim, the more
closely it approaches false organizational information and the weaker the support
it provides for decisions.

Provides a Facility for Producing Knowledge From Information

By providing services for knowledge claim formulation and validation and tracking
and storing the results of validation activities in knowledge claim objects, EKPs
provide a facility that supports producing knowledge from knowledge claims (or, if
you like, supports producing better or worse validated knowledge claims from
unvalidated ones). Since knowledge claims are information and knowledge is
validated knowledge claims, it follows that EKPs provide a facility for producing knowledge from information.

**Orients One toward Producing and Integrating Knowledge Rather than Only Information**

Because the EKP supports the full set of knowledge life cycle activities including, and most critically, individual and group learning and knowledge validation, it orients one toward knowledge production. Because new knowledge results from use of the EKP, enterprise information integration processes will be oriented toward integrating knowledge and validity information as well as business information.

**EKP Architecture and Components**

The EKP provides a:

- knowledge worker-centric, knowledge work flow-oriented, single point of access to enterprise data and content stores, and applications supporting knowledge production, knowledge integration, and knowledge management;
- personalized desktop browser-based portal that with the assistance of an integrative, logically centralized, but physically distributed *Artificial Knowledge Manager (AKM)*, composed of distributed *Artificial Knowledge Servers (AKSs)* and *intelligent mobile agents*, is connected to all enterprise mission critical application sources and data and content stores;
- secure, seamless, single-logon capability for all network, application, and service resources.

The distributed AKM balances processing loads across the enterprise and provides for dynamic integration of the portal system in the face of change. The EKP system is managed, in part, by the AKM's ubiquitous intelligent agents serving all application servers, data and content stores, and clients in the enterprise. The EKP system, provides a new work environment for enterprise knowledge workers, one that is aligned with, and supports and partially automates, their individual and collaborative work flow in creating, distributing and using data, information, and knowledge, and in making and implementing decisions and actions.

More specifically, the EKP in operation provides: a wide range of functionality (including structured data management, unstructured content management, collaborative processing, information processing, information management, knowledge processing, and knowledge management); a wide range of data and content stores as sources of previously developed information and knowledge; and an integrative object/component-based portal architecture. Here is an introduction to knowledge portal architecture and components.
Figure six presents an overview. Note the complexity of the EKP system with respect to diversity of data and information stores, and application servers, the presence of structured data and unstructured content sources, the publication and agent capabilities, the web server and portal capabilities, the text and data mining capabilities, the collaborative capabilities, and the dynamic integrative capabilities provided by the AKM with its agents and logically centralized but physically distributed AKS servers and intelligent agents.

Note also, that this view of the EKP does not emphasize its front-end aspects or format. In the EKP the action is in the middleware and in how it functions to support knowledge production, knowledge integration and knowledge management.
Though figure six illustrates the diversity of components that may enter an EKP, it does not specify its necessary generic components. These are:

- Browser and e-mail clients
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- The Avatar -- a client-based intelligent agent
- The portal application server(s),
- The access management system
- Knowledge Claim Objects
- The enterprise Artificial Knowledge Server(s) (AKSs),
- Complex adaptive system (cas) intelligent agent platform
- The formal knowledge production application server(s) and its associated clients supporting analytical and statistical modeling, KDD and Data Mining, Text Mining, Simulation, impact analysis and forecasting,
- The collaborative processing application server, and
- A persistent storage component.

Among these components, the avatar, knowledge claim objects, the AKS, the cas agent platform, and the collaborative processing application server are all unusual and deserve further analysis in specifying EKP architecture.

The Avatar

The purpose of the Avatar, a client-based cas agent is to create a highly automated, enterprise knowledge-enabled, self-learning/adaptive portal interface that is personally and dynamically tailored to each user. The types of automation involved here include:

- assimilation of the user's local environment, personal preferences and cognitive patterns;
- learning from, interacting with, and utilizing enterprise knowledge communicated by the Artificial Knowledge Servers;
- providing the user autonomous negotiating capabilities with the rest of the EKP system;
- supporting performing personal and collaborative workflows, by
  - (1) providing workflow memory, accessible from the portal interface adapting in accordance with anticipated needs of the user, and
  - (2) by providing access to user cognitive maps; and
- producing knowledge claims and submitting these to the EKP system.

The knowledge claims of the Avatar represent local knowledge, in contrast to the rules that have been validated by the EKP system's network of Artificial Knowledge Servers.

Knowledge Claim Objects

An important class of objects in the EKP system is the knowledge claim object (KCO). A KCO is distinguished from an ordinary business object by the presence of validity metadata encapsulated in the object. Such metadata compares the
KCO to alternative, competing KCO’s, and may be expressed in many different forms.

The “metadata” may be qualitative or quantitative, or it may be in the form of textual content. In relatively infrequent but important special cases, the metadata may involve quantitative ratings of a knowledge claim compared to its competitors. When the KCO is accessed by a user, data, metadata, and methods are all available, so the user can evaluate the KCO as a basis for decision against competing KCOs. This capability is not available in EIPs, which express knowledge claims as data or business objects only.

**The Artificial Knowledge Server**

The distributed AKS provides Process Control Services, an Object Model of the EKP system, and connectivity to all enterprise information, data stores, and applications.

- **Process Control Services include:**
  - In-memory proactive object state management and synchronization across distributed objects
  - Component management and Workflow Management
  - Transactional multithreading
  - business rule management and processing,
  - KCO management and processing and
  - metadata management

- **The In-memory Active Object Model/Persistent Object Store is characterized by:**
  - Event-driven behavior;
  - An EKP-wide model with shared representation;
  - Declarative and procedural business rules;
  - Caching along with partial instantiation of objects;
  - A Persistent Object Store for the AKS; and
  - Reflexive Objects and KCOs

- **Connectivity Services required by the EKP are:**
  - Language APIs: C, C++, Java, HTML, XML, CORBA, DCOM
  - Databases: Relational, ODBC, OODBMS, hierarchical, network, flat file, XML, etc.
  - Wrapper connectivity for application software: custom, CORBA, or COM-based
  - Applications connectivity including all the applications in the enterprise whether these are mainframe, server, or desktop - based
Cas Agents

The second type of component comprising the EKP’s AKM is the intelligent agent (IA). EKP IAs are lightweight, intelligent, efficient, specialized Business Process Engines (BPEs) that provide some memory and a small amount of processing power at almost no cost. They also provide bi-directional communication, an inference engine, an ability to model semantic networks, and an ability to learn through reinforcement of semantic network connections and creation of new nodes. It is this last ability to learn that makes them intelligent.

Intelligent agents alone cannot yet create the virtual enterprise. For complex processing and an enterprise wide view, the AKS is also indispensable. But IAs provide distributed load balancing to processing in the AKM. They are necessary partners in providing the processing power needed for implementing the EKP. When we add agents to the AKS to create the AKM, we provide software wiring for the enterprise that connects its central brain components (the AKSs) to its sensors (the agents). The result is a flexible and scalable AKM that can integrate the various components of the EKP into a virtual enterprise.

Collaborative Processing Application Server

Eight categories of collaboration are:

- prioritization,
- planning,
- project management,
- distributing expertise,
- training,
- problem solving,
- knowledge production, and
- workflow.

Each of these areas represents non-trivial functions that are currently realized in complex applications. To some extent each represent distinct functional subspaces that could each be wrapped into a collaborative or knowledge portal, either separately or in combination with one of the other categories.

Products such as Instinctive’s eRoom or Intraspect, that focus on collaboration in general or collaboration in support of project management, are very different from products that intend to support strategic planning implementations such as Engenia’s Unity. And these are very different from products that provide for group collaboration on analytical modeling and/or data mining, or that provide for a team approach to prioritized decision making, such as Expert Choice. And these, in turn, are very different from products such as Ernst & Young's ERNIE, and
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Orbital Software's Organik Knowledgeware that allow knowledge workers to access the expertise of "Gurus" in specialized fields.

In short, the term collaborative application server covers a variety of applications. In the context of the EKP, collaborative processing requirements should encompass all categories specified above, because all are related to knowledge outcomes or knowledge processes. EKPs then, are particularly comprehensive in their collaborative processing functionality.

The Essence of The EKP

The set of problem-solving interactions in an enterprise constitutes a continuous, dynamic "swirl" from which knowledge is produced and integrated with the business processes of the enterprise. The essence of the EKP is its support for facilitating this knowledge "swirl" and its management. The EKP supports every phase of the KLC and every KM activity. It supports business processes with new knowledge production and integration. It supports collaboration focused on knowledge production by providing the history of knowledge-validating activities in the enterprise. It also supports knowledge production through automated arbitration between local knowledge claims and regional and global knowledge claims. But most of all by supporting every phase of the KLC, and by subjecting knowledge claims to competition more efficiently than ever before, it subjects them to evolutionary forces, and thus supports the acceleration of innovation, the growth of knowledge, in the EKP-supported enterprise.

e-Business Knowledge Portals and eBusiness Solutions

EKPs, once again, are themselves eBusiness applications that web-enable knowledge processing and knowledge management within the enterprise. An eKP uses EKP technology to support e-business processes that transcend the enterprise. There are two basic types of eKPs:

- Extraprise Knowledge Portals (ExKPs); and
- Interprise Knowledge Portals (IKPs).

In general, EKPs provide all the support for eBusiness provided by EIPs. In addition, EKPs or eKPs provide unique support for:

- eCRM
- eSCM
- eERP
- eCommerce.

Figure Seven illustrates the idea that EKPs and eKPs integrate and support a number of eBusiness processes.
Figure Seven -- Integrating eBusiness Processes

Figure Eight places the idea that business structures and external inputs impact on Business processes and that these, turn, produce new knowledge for the DOKB, in the context of eBusiness processes. The DOKB impact than feeds back to business structures and so on. Here are some specifics on how the EKP/eKP impacts on eBusiness processes.

The EKP/eKP and eCRM

eCRM is supported by ExKPs and IKPs, rather than by EKPs

- Knowledge-based personalization of CRM web clients (This is provided by the eKP through the interaction of the Avatar and the AKM, Specifically, the validated cognitive map of each user is available to the eKP system).

- Customer individual and group learning (the eKP provides individual and collaborative knowledge production facilities to customers)

- Knowledge worker individual and group learning (the eKP does the same for knowledge workers)
Knowledge validation in such areas as:

- Strategic and Tactical CRM planning (the eKP has planning facilities)
- Customer acquisition (the eKP provides analytical modeling and validation support in this area)
- Customer retention (analytical modeling and validation support and service facilities)
- Customer lifetime value (analytical modeling, measurement, validation, and forecasting support)
- Monitoring and evaluating CRM initiatives (provides database, analytical modeling, and validation support)

**The EKP/eKP and eSCM**

- Knowledge-based personalization of SCM web clients ((This is provided by the eKP through the interaction of the Avatar and the AKM, Specifically, the validated cognitive map of each user is available to the eKP system)

- Knowledge worker individual and group learning (the eKP provides individual and collaborative knowledge production facilities to knowledge workers)
Knowledge validation in such areas as:

- SCM planning and process modeling (the eKP has planning facilities)
- Raw material development (analytical modeling and validation support for new acquisition processes)
- Ingredient forecasting (analytical modeling and validation support)
- Manufacturing process control (planning, analytical modeling and validation support and process control facilities)
- Inventory forecasting and control (planning, analytical modeling and validation support and inventory control facilities)

**The EKP/eKP and eERP**

- Knowledge-based personalization of ERP web clients (provided by the eKP through the interaction of the Avatar and the AKM, Specifically, the validated cognitive map of each user is available to the eKP system)

- Knowledge worker individual and group learning (the eKP provides individual and collaborative knowledge production facilities to knowledge workers)

- Knowledge validation in such areas as:
  - Budgeting (planning, analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Accounting (planning, analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Asset Management (planning, analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Human Resources (planning, analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Shipments (planning, analytical modeling and validation support and shipment control facilities)

**The EKP/eKP and eCommerce**

- Knowledge-based personalization of eCommerce web clients (This is provided by the eKP through the interaction of the Avatar and the AKM, Specifically, the validated cognitive map of each user is available to the eKP system).

- Knowledge worker individual and group learning (the eKP provides individual and collaborative knowledge production facilities to knowledge workers)

- Knowledge validation in such areas as:
  - eCommerce planning (analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Sales forecasting (analytical modeling and validation support)
  - Billing (database, analytical modeling and validation support)


**Conclusion**

Enterprise and eBusiness Knowledge Portals applications are on the verge of development. The technology they require is in existence now. The cost of its development is low as software applications go, since its implementation is largely a matter of systems integration. On the other hand, the benefits associated with the EKP/eKP are great. They are nothing less than realization of the promise of the EIP to achieve increased ROI, competitive advantage, increased effectiveness, and acceleration of innovation.

As I indicated previously, EIPs are risky because (neglecting data quality applications which involve relatively superficial quality issues) they fail to evaluate the information they produce and deliver for quality and validity. Nothing, including EKPs or eKPs, can ensure certainty about information, models, or knowledge claims. But EKP/eKP applications incorporate a systematic approach to testing and validation that produces quality assured information. In the category of portal technology they, not EIPs, are the best we can do. They, not EIPs, are the future of portal technology.

In the area of eBusiness solutions, EKP/eKP applications are also represent the future. This paper showed that every business process and eBusiness process, through its decision cycles uses knowledge and requires continuous knowledge production and knowledge integration which themselves require continuous knowledge management. I have also shown that the eCRM, eSCM, eERP, and eCommerce processes would be supported at a number of points by EKPs/eKPs in a manner not supported by EIP technology. In those eBusiness processes where the highest quality information is most important, EKPs and eKPs will prove most necessary.
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